arXiv:1210.4747v2 [math.NT] 23 Dec 2012

Exp $(2\pi i\sqrt{d}+\log\log\varepsilon)$ is algebraic

Igor Nikolaev [*]

Abstract

We exploit a duality between elliptic curves with complex multiplication and noncommutative tori with real multiplication to prove that the value of transcendental function exp $(2\pi i\sqrt{d}+\log\log\varepsilon)$ is algebraic for all but a finite set of square-free positive integers d, where $\varepsilon>1$ is the fundamental unit of quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. Such a value generates the Hilbert class field of imaginary quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$.

Key words and phrases: real and complex multiplication; Sklyanin algebra

MSC: 11J81 (transcendence theory); 46L85 (noncommutative topology)

1 Introduction

It is a difficult old problem to determine if given irrational value of a transcendental function is algebraic or transcendental for certain algebraic arguments; the algebraic values are particularly remarkable and worthy of thorough investigation [3], p.456. Only few general results are known [1]; we shall mention the famous Gelfond-Schneider Theorem saying that $e^{\beta\log\alpha}$ is a transcendental number whenever $\alpha\not\in\{0,1\}$ is an algebraic and β an irrational algebraic number. The aim of present note is a result on algebraic values of a transcendental function $g(\theta,\mu):=e^{2\pi i\theta+\log\log\mu}$ for algebraic arguments $\theta=\sqrt{d}$ and $\mu=\varepsilon$, where ε is the fundamental unit of the real quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. The function $g(\theta,\mu)$ can be viewed as an extension of Klein's

---

[*] Partially supported by NSERC.

invariant $j(\tau)$ to the boundary of half-plane $\mathbb{H}$. Namely, our main result is as follows.

Theorem 1 *For each square-free positive integer* $d \notin \{1, 2, 3, 7, 11, 19, 43, 67, 163\}$ *the value of transcendental function* $e^{2\pi i\sqrt{d}+\log\log\varepsilon}$ *is an algebraic number; the number generates the Hilbert class field of imaginary quadratic field* $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$.

The structure of article is as follows. The preliminary facts are brought together in Section 2. Theorem 1 is proved in Section 3; a synopsis of proof is given below.

Let $\mathcal{E}_{CM}$ be an elliptic curve with complex multiplication by the ring of integers of imaginary quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$. It is well known, that $\mathcal{E}_{CM}$ is isomorphic to an elliptic curve $\mathcal{E}(k)$ with coefficients in an algebraic number field k, which is the Hilbert class field of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$. One can think of $\mathcal{E}(k)$ as an intersection of two quadric surfaces in the complex projective space, i.e. $\mathcal{E}(k) = \{(u, v, w, z) \in \mathbb{C}P^3 \mid u^2+v^2+w^2+z^2 = \frac{1-\alpha}{1+\beta}v^2+\frac{1+\alpha}{1-\gamma}w^2+z^2 = 0\}$, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in k$ and $\alpha+\beta+\gamma+\alpha\beta\gamma = 0$. The twisted homogeneous coordinate ring [1] of $\mathcal{E}(k)$ is isomorphic to the four-dimensional *Sklyanin algebra*, i.e. a free $\mathbb{C}$-algebra on four generators x_i and six quadratic relations ([7], p. 260):

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lcl}
x_1x_2 - x_2x_1 & = & \alpha(x_3x_4 + x_4x_3),\\
x_1x_2 + x_2x_1 & = & x_3x_4 - x_4x_3,\\
x_1x_3 - x_3x_1 & = & \beta(x_4x_2 + x_2x_4),\\
x_1x_3 + x_3x_1 & = & x_4x_2 - x_2x_4,\\
x_1x_4 - x_4x_1 & = & \gamma(x_2x_3 + x_3x_2),\\
x_1x_4 + x_4x_1 & = & x_2x_3 - x_3x_2.
\end{array}\right. \tag{1}$$

We consider a twist (i.e. an automorphism) of $\mathcal{E}(k)$ of order 4; in this case $\beta = 1,\ \gamma = -1$ and it is known that system (1) can be brought to a skew symmetric form $\{x_ix_j = q_{ij}x_jx_i \mid q_{ij} \in k \setminus \{0\},\ 1 \le i, j \le 4\}$, see [2], Remark 1.

In what follows we identify x_i with the unitary operators (acting on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$) subject to an involution $x_1^* = x_2$ and $x_3^* = x_4$. The involution preserves equations $x_ix_j = q_{ij}x_jx_i$ if and only if $q_{13} = (\bar{q}_{24})^{-1}, q_{24} = (\bar{q}_{13})^{-1}, q_{14} = (\bar{q}_{23})^{-1}, q_{23} = (\bar{q}_{14})^{-1}, q_{12} = \bar{q}_{12}$ and $q_{34} = \bar{q}_{34}$. Thus one gets a six (real-) parameter family of Sklyanin $*$-algebras and we shall consider a two-parameter sub-family defined by constraints $q_{13} = \bar{q}_{14} = \mu e^{2\pi i\theta}, q_{24} = \bar{q}_{23} = \frac{1}{\mu}e^{2\pi i\theta}$ and $q_{12} = q_{34} = 1$, where $\theta = Arg\ (q_{13})$ and $\mu = |q_{13}|$. The norm-closure of the above Sklyanin $*$-algebra yields a C^*-algebra known as the *noncommutative torus* [2] $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ with a scaled unit $\frac{1}{\mu}e$, see corollary 1. In other words, one gets a functor F between elliptic curves and noncommutative tori (with scaled units) such that complex multiplication by $\sqrt{-d}$ goes to a real multiplication by $\sqrt{d}$, see lemma 2. More specifically, $F(\mathcal{E}(k))$ yields a noncommutative torus $\mathcal{A}_{\sqrt{d}}$ with a unit scaled by $\mu = \log\varepsilon$, where ε is the fundamental unit of the field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$, see lemma 6. Theorem 1 is now an implication of the inclusion $\mu e^{2\pi i\theta} = q_{13} \in k$.

---

[1] That is a non-commutative coordinate ring of the projective variety $\mathcal{E}(k)$; see [8] for the general theory of such rings.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Noncommutative torus ([6])

Let θ be an irrational number; let U and V be a pair of unitary operators on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, i.e. $UU^* = U^*U = VV^* = V^*V = E$. A *noncommutative torus* is the universal C^*-algebra, $\mathcal{A}_\theta$, generated by operators U and V satisfying the commutation relation $VU = e^{2\pi i\theta}UV$. The following lemma provides a useful description of $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ in terms of generators and relations.

Lemma 1 *$\mathcal{A}_\theta$ is the norm-closure of a free $\mathbb{C}$-algebra on four generators U, U^*, V, V^* and the following quadratic relations:*

$$\left\{\begin{array}{ll} VU & = e^{2\pi i\theta}UV, \\ V^*U^* & = e^{2\pi i\theta}U^*V^*, \\ V^*U & = e^{-2\pi i\theta}UV^*, \\ VU^* & = e^{-2\pi i\theta}U^*V, \\ U^*U & = UU^* = E, \\ V^*V & = VV^* = E. \end{array}\right. \tag{2}$$

Proof. The first and the last two relations are just the definition of $\mathcal{A}_\theta$. Three relations in the middle can be deduced from the above relations; we leave it to the reader as an exercise in non-commutative algebra. □

A pair of noncommutative tori is said to be stably isomorphic (Morita equivalent) if $\mathcal{A}_\theta \otimes \mathcal{K} \cong \mathcal{A}_{\theta'} \otimes \mathcal{K}$, where $\mathcal{K}$ is the C^*-algebra of compact operators and $\cong$ is an isomorphism of C^*-algebras. It is well known, that $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ is stably isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}_{\theta'}$, if and only if, $\theta' = (a\theta + b)/(c\theta + d)$, where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $ad - bc = 1$. The K-theory of $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ is Bott periodic with $K_0(\mathcal{A}_\theta) = K_1(\mathcal{A}_\theta) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$. The range of canonical trace on projections of $\mathcal{A}_\theta \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is a subset $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\theta$ of real line $\mathbb{R}$; the set Λ is called a *pseudo-lattice* [4]. The noncommutative torus $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ is said to have *real multiplication*, if θ is a quadratic irrationality; it is not hard to see, that real multiplication is equivalent to existence of non-trivial endomorphisms of pseudo-lattice Λ. By analogy with complex multiplication, such endomorphisms are in bijection with multiplication of Λ by real quadratic irrationalities – hence the name.

---

[2] We refer the reader to [6] for the definition and survey of the main properties of noncommutative tori; for a quick review see Section 2.1.

For the sake of clarity, we recall well known facts about complex multiplication. Denote by $\mathbb{H} = \{x + iy \in \mathbb{C} \mid y > 0\}$ the upper half-plane; if $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$, one gets a complex torus $\mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau)$. Each complex torus is isomorphic to a non-singular elliptic curve; the isomorphism is realized by the Weierstrass $\wp$ function. A pair of complex tori are isomorphic, whenever $\tau' = (a\tau + b)/(c\tau + d)$, where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $ad - bc = 1$. If τ is an imaginary quadratic number, elliptic curve is said to have *complex multiplication*; in this case lattice $L = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau$ admits non-trivial endomorphisms. Such endomorphisms are realized by complex quadratic irrationalities on which the basis of lattice L is multiplied – hence the name.

This remarkable analogy between real and complex multiplication is not a coincidence: there exists a covariant functor between elliptic curves and noncommutative tori. Such a functor maps isomorphic curves to the stably isomorphic tori. To give an idea, let ϕ be a closed form on a topological torus; the trajectories of ϕ define a measured foliation on the torus. By the Hubbard-Masur theorem, such a foliation corresponds to a point $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$. The map $F : \mathbb{H} \to \partial\mathbb{H}$ is defined by the formula $\tau \mapsto \theta = \int_{\gamma_2} \phi / \int_{\gamma_1} \phi$, where γ_1 and γ_2 are generators of the first homology of the torus. The following is true: (i) $\mathbb{H} = \partial\mathbb{H} \times (0, \infty)$ is a trivial fiber bundle, whose projection map coincides with F; (ii) F is a functor, which maps isomorphic complex tori to the stably isomorphic noncommutative tori. We shall refer to F as the *Teichmüller functor*. It is known that F sends elliptic curves with complex multiplication to the noncommutative tori with real multiplication [5]. We shall write $\mathcal{E}_{CM}^{(-d,f)}$ to denote elliptic curve with complex multiplication by an order of conductor $f \geq 1$ in the imaginary quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$; likewise, we write $\mathcal{A}_{RM}^{(d,f)}$ to denote a noncommutative torus with real multiplication by an order of conductor $f \geq 1$ in the real quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. The proof of the following lemma is omitted [3] .

Lemma 2 *For each square-free integer* $d > 1$ *it holds* $F(\mathcal{E}_{CM}^{(-d,f)}) = \mathcal{A}_{RM}^{(d,f)}$.

2.2 Sklyanin algebras ([8])

After the seminal works of Serre of 1950's, it was realized that there exist non-commutative algebras, which can be interpreted as coordinate rings of the classical (i.e. commutative) projective varieties; roughly speaking, the finitely generated projective modules over such algebras are isomorphic to such over commutative polynomial algebras. We shall describe one such algebra appearing in the context of non-singuilar elliptic curves; for other examples and general theory, we refer the reader to the survey [8].

Let k be a field of *char* $(k) \neq 2$. A four-dimensional *Sklyanin algebra* $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(k)$ is a free k-algebra on four generators x_i and six quadratic relations (1) with $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in k$ and $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \alpha\beta\gamma = 0$. If $\alpha \not\in \{0; \pm 1\}$ then algebra $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(k)$ defines a non-singular elliptic curve $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{P}^3(k)$ given by the intersection of two quadrics $u^2 + v^2 + w^2 + z^2 = \frac{1-\alpha}{1+\beta}v^2 + \frac{1+\alpha}{1-\gamma}w^2 + z^2 = 0$ together with an automorphism $\sigma : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E}$. We shall use the following isomorphism [7]:

$$\mathbf{QGr}\ (\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(k)\ /\ \Omega) \cong \mathbf{Qcoh}\ (\mathcal{E}), \tag{3}$$

where **QGr** is a category of the quotient graded modules over the algebra $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(k)$ modulo torsion, **Qcoh** a category of the quasi-coherent sheaves on $\mathcal{E}$ and $\Omega \subset \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(k)$ a two-sided ideal generated by the central elements $\Omega_1 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2$ and $\Omega_2 = x_2^2 + \frac{1+\beta}{1-\gamma}x_3^2 + \frac{1-\beta}{1+\alpha}x_4^2$. The factor of Sklyanin algebra by the ideal Ω is called a *twisted homogeneous coordinate ring* of elliptic curve $\mathcal{E}$; clearly, such a ring is a non-commutative ring unless twist $\sigma : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E}$ is trivial.

3 Proof of theorem 1

A synopsis of proof was given in the introduction; we shall proceed to a detailed account.

---

[3] The proof is given in our preprint *"Real and complex multiplication are mirror symmetric", arXiv:0910.3875*; the lemma follows easily from an explicit formula for F, see [5], p.524.

Lemma 3 *The Sklyanin algebra $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(k)$ corresponds to an automorphism $\sigma^4 = Id$, if and only if, $\beta = 1$ and $\gamma = -1$.*

Proof. It was shown in [7], §2.10, that $\sigma^4 \neq Id$, if and only if,

$$\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\} \bigcap \{0; \pm 1\} = \emptyset. \tag{4}$$

Since $\mathcal{E}$ is non-singular, one concludes that $\beta \neq -1$ and $\gamma \neq 1$; it is easy to see that the largest family of Sklyanin's algebras $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(k)$ for which (4) does not hold, corresponds to $\beta = 1, \gamma = -1$ and arbitrary $\alpha \in k \setminus \{0; \pm 1\}$.

On the other hand, algebra $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(k)$ depends continuously on the automorphism σ; therefore, the family of Sklyanin's algebras $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha,1,-1}(k)$ corresponds to the automorphism $\sigma^4 = Id$. Lemma 3 follows. □

Lemma 4 *The Sklyanin algebra $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha,1,-1}(k)$ is isomorphic to a free k-algebra on four generators x_i and six skew-symmetric quadratic relations:*

$$\left\{\begin{array}{ll} x_3 x_1 & = \mu e^{2\pi i\theta} x_1 x_3, \\ x_4 x_2 & = \frac{1}{\mu} e^{2\pi i\theta} x_2 x_4, \\ x_4 x_1 & = \mu e^{-2\pi i\theta} x_1 x_4, \\ x_3 x_2 & = \frac{1}{\mu} e^{-2\pi i\theta} x_2 x_3, \\ x_2 x_1 & = x_1 x_2, \\ x_4 x_3 & = x_3 x_4, \end{array}\right. \tag{5}$$

where $\theta = Arg\ (q_{13})$ and $\mu = |q_{13}|$ for a complex number $q_{13} \in k \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof. (i) It is known, that the Sklyanin algebra $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(k)$ corresponding to the automorphism $\sigma^4 = Id$ is isomorphic to a free k-algebra on four generators x_i and six skew-symmetric relations:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{ll} x_3 x_1 & = q_{13} x_1 x_3, \\ x_4 x_2 & = q_{24} x_2 x_4, \\ x_4 x_1 & = q_{14} x_1 x_4, \\ x_3 x_2 & = q_{23} x_2 x_3, \\ x_2 x_1 & = q_{12} x_1 x_2, \\ x_4 x_3 & = q_{34} x_3 x_4, \end{array}\right. \tag{6}$$

where $q_{ij} \in k \setminus \{0\}$ [2], Remark 1.

(ii) Consider an involution $x_1^* = x_2$ and $x_3^* = x_4$. It is verified directly, that relations (6) are invariant of the involution, if and only if, the following restrictions on the constants q_{ij} hold:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lll} q_{13} & = & (\bar{q}_{24})^{-1}, \\ q_{24} & = & (\bar{q}_{13})^{-1}, \\ q_{14} & = & (\bar{q}_{23})^{-1}, \\ q_{23} & = & (\bar{q}_{14})^{-1}, \\ q_{12} & = & \bar{q}_{12}, \\ q_{34} & = & \bar{q}_{34}, \end{array}\right. \tag{7}$$

where $\bar{q}_{ij}$ means the complex conjugate of $q_{ij} \in k \setminus \{0\}$.

(iii) In view of lemma 3, the Sklyanin algebra $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha,1,-1}(k)$ corresponds to the automorphism $\sigma^4 = Id$; thus $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha,1,-1}(k)$ is isomorphic to a skew-symmetric algebra. Again, it is verified directly, that relations (1) for algebra $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha,1,-1}(k)$ are invariant of the involution $x_1^* = x_2$ and $x_3^* = x_4$. Because an isomorphism preserves the involution, one concludes that the skew-symmetric algebra isomorphic to $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha,1,-1}(k)$ must satisfy restrictions (7) on constants q_{ij}.

(iv) It follows from (7) that a skew-symmetric $*$-algebra on four generators depends on two complex q_{13} and q_{14} and two real parameters q_{12} and q_{34}. Since the Sklyanin $*$-algebra $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha,1,-1}(k)$ depends on one complex parameter α, we shall consider a one-parameter family of skew-symmetric $*$-algebras defined by a restriction:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lll} q_{13} & = & \bar{q}_{14}, \\ q_{12} & = & q_{34} = 1. \end{array}\right. \tag{8}$$

It is not hard to see, that the skew-symmetric $*$-algebras in the above family are pairwise non-isomorphic for different values of parameter q_{13}; for this reason, one takes this family of $*$-algebras to be a skew-symmetric normal form for the Sklyanin $*$-algebra $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha,1,-1}(k)$. It remains to notice, that one can write the complex parameter q_{13} in polar form $q_{13} = \mu e^{2\pi i\theta}$, where $\theta = Arg\ (q_{13})$ and $\mu = |q_{13}|$. Lemma 4 follows. $\square$

Lemma 5 *Relations (5) together with the "scaled" unit relation* $x_1x_2 = x_3x_4 = \frac{1}{\mu}e$ *are equivalent to relations (2) with* $U = x_1, U^* = x_2, V = x_3, V^* = x_4$ *and* $E = e$.

Proof. (i) Notice, that using the last two relations, one can bring (2) to the form:

$$\begin{cases} x_3x_1x_4 &= e^{2\pi i\theta}x_1,\\ x_4 &= e^{2\pi i\theta}x_2x_4x_1,\\ x_4x_1x_3 &= e^{-2\pi i\theta}x_1,\\ x_2 &= e^{-2\pi i\theta}x_4x_2x_3,\\ x_2x_1 &= x_1x_2 = e,\\ x_4x_3 &= x_3x_4 = e. \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

(ii) If one adjoins relation $x_1x_2 = x_3x_4 = \frac{1}{\mu}e$ to the system of relations (5), then μ can be eliminated from the first four relations and (5) takes the form:

$$\begin{cases} x_3x_1x_4 &= e^{2\pi i\theta}x_1,\\ x_4 &= e^{2\pi i\theta}x_2x_4x_1,\\ x_4x_1x_3 &= e^{-2\pi i\theta}x_1,\\ x_2 &= e^{-2\pi i\theta}x_4x_2x_3,\\ x_2x_1 &= x_1x_2 = \frac{1}{\mu}e,\\ x_4x_3 &= x_3x_4 = \frac{1}{\mu}e. \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

(iii) It remains to compare (9) and (10); one concludes that the two system of relations coincide up to a scaled unit $e' = \frac{1}{\mu}e$. Lemma 5 follows. □

Corollary 1 *The noncommutative torus $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ with a scaled unit $\frac{1}{\mu}e$ is the norm-closure of skew-symmetric Sklyanin $*$-algebra given by relations (5) with $x_1x_2 = x_3x_4 = \frac{1}{\mu}e$.*

Lemma 6 *The scaled unit of noncommutative torus $\mathcal{A}_{RM}^{(d,f)}$ is $\frac{1}{\log\varepsilon}e$, where $\varepsilon > 1$ is the fundamental unit of an order of conductor f in the real quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$.*

Proof. (i) Using the canonical trace on the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{A}_\theta\otimes\mathcal{K}$ with the scaled unit $\frac{1}{\mu}e$, we can identify μ with a measure on the pseudo-lattice $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\theta$; any such measure has the form $\Lambda_\mu = \mu(\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\theta)$.

(ii) Since $\mathcal{A}_{RM}^{(d,f)}$ admits real multiplication, there exists an infinite-cyclic group of automorphisms of Λ generated by multiplication of Λ on fundamental unit $\varepsilon > 1$ of the order $R_f = \mathbb{Z} + fR$ in the ring of integers R of quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. Hence, one has an infinite set of measured pseudo-lattices $\{\Lambda_{\varepsilon^n} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

(iii) On the other hand, measures $\mu_n = \varepsilon^n$ must be additive, i.e. closed under the addition operation; in other words, we have a function from a multiplicative semi-group in $\mathbb{R}$ (generated by ε) to an additive semi-group in $\mathbb{R}$ (generated by μ):

$$(\mathbb{Z}, \cdot) \longmapsto (\mathbb{Z}, +). \tag{11}$$

The only function with such a property is the logarithmic function, i.e. $\mu = \log \varepsilon$. Lemma 6 follows. $\square$

One can finish the proof of theorem 1 in the following steps.

(i) Let $d \notin \{1, 2, 3, 7, 11, 19, 43, 67, 163\}$ be a positive square-free integer and $f = 1$. It is known, that in this case $\mathcal{E}_{CM}^{(-d,f)}$ is isomorphic to an elliptic curve $\mathcal{E}(k)$, where $k \neq \mathbb{Q}$ is the Hilbert class field of imaginary quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$.

(ii) Consider a twisted homogeneous coordinate ring of $\mathcal{E}(k)$; the latter is isomorphic to the Sklyanin $*$-algebra $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha,1,-1}(k)$ given by relations (5). Since $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha,1,-1}(k)$ is a k-algebra, we conclude from (5) that $\mu e^{2\pi i\theta} \in k$.

(iii) In view of corollary 1, the norm-closure of a representation of $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha,1,-1}(k)$ by linear operators on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ coincides with the noncommutative torus $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ with the scaled unit $\frac{1}{\mu}e$.

(iv) But lemma 2 asserts that $\theta = \sqrt{d}$ and lemma 6 says that $\mu = \log \varepsilon$. One can bring this data together in the following formula:

$$(\log \varepsilon)\ e^{2\pi i\sqrt{d}} = e^{2\pi i\sqrt{d} + \log\log \varepsilon} \in k. \tag{12}$$

Theorem 1 is proved. $\square$

References

[1] A. Baker, Transcendental Number Theory, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1975.

[2] B. L. Feigin and A. V. Odesskii, Sklyanin's elliptic algebras, Functional Anal. Appl. 23 (1989), 207-214.

[3] D. Hilbert, Mathematical problems, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1902), 437-479.

[4] Yu. I. Manin, Real multiplication and noncommutative geometry, in "Legacy of Niels Hendrik Abel", 685-727, Springer, 2004.

[5] I. Nikolaev, Remark on the rank of elliptic curves, Osaka J. Math. 46 (2009), 515-527.

[6] M. A. Rieffel, Non-commutative tori – a case study of non-commutative differentiable manifolds, Contemp. Math. 105 (1990), 191-211. Available http://math.berkeley.edu/∼rieffel/

[7] S. P. Smith and J. T. Stafford, Regularity of the four dimensional Sklyanin algebra, Compositio Math. 83 (1992), 259-289.

[8] J. T. Stafford and M. van den Bergh, Noncommutative curves and noncommutative surfaces, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 38 (2001), 171-216.